



Qualifying Income From Activities of Publicly Traded Partnerships With Respect to Minerals or Natural Resources

This is a Comment on the **Internal Revenue Service (IRS)** Proposed Rule: **Qualifying Income from Activities of Publicly Traded Partnerships with Respect to Minerals or Natural Resources**

For related information, [Open Docket Folder](#)

[Comment Now!](#)

Due Aug 4 2015, at 11:59 PM ET

ID: IRS-2015-0021-0070

Tracking Number: 1jz-8kbu-qualh

Document Information

Date Posted:

Aug 4, 2015

RIN:

1545-BM43

[Show More Details](#)

Submitter Information

Submitter Name:

J. Owens

City:

KEnsington

Country:

United States

State or Province:

OH

Comment

To: Caroline Hay and others from the IRS and Treasury Department.

As an owner of stock in the West Lake company, a retiree, and concerned citizen, I see that the author and contributing authors to the proposed Amendments to Income Tax Re. (26 CFR part 1) under section 7704(d)(1)(e) regarding qualifying income... are IRS and Treasury employees and representatives. When changing the definition of "qualifying activities" or qualifying income or any definition that will have an extensive effect on investors, businesses, taxpayers, etc. shouldn't that proposed change be aired before expert voices publicly from the educational field, businesses, US Chamber of Commerce, financial circles, and government representatives? This kind of change deserves more than just a review by the taxing authority i.e. IRS. Otherwise, use a timeline, exceptions, or a grandfather clause to be used for those companies doing business under the previous definitions or guidelines.